### **MINUTES**

# **City of Guadalupe**

# Regular Meeting of the Guadalupe City Council Tuesday, June 8, 2021, at 6:00 pm City Hall, 918 Obispo Street, Council Chambers

# 1. ROLL CALL:

Council Member Liliana Cardenas Council Member Gilbert Robles Council Member Eugene Costa Jr. Mayor Pro Tempore Tony Ramirez Mayor Ariston Julian

Council Member Cardenas was absent. All others were present. (Note: The abbreviation "CM" for "Council Member" will be used in these minutes.)

### 2. MOMENT OF SILENCE

### 3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

### 4. AGENDA REVIEW

Mayor Julian moved Item #13. - City of Guadalupe 75<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Celebration, after Item #11. Director of Public Safety Report. Todd Bodem, City Administrator, said that Item #17A in Closed Session was to be taken off the agenda. No other requests for changes on the agenda.

#### 5. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION FORUM

Each person will be limited to a discussion of three (3) minutes or as directed by the Mayor. This time is reserved to accept comments from the public on Consent Calendar items, Ceremonial Calendar items, Closed Session items, or matters not otherwise scheduled on this agenda. Pursuant to provisions of the Brown Act, no action may be taken on these matters unless they are listed on the agenda, or unless certain emergency or special circumstances exist. City Council may direct staff to investigate and/or schedule certain matters for consideration at a future City Council meeting.

Ms. Reina Chavez spoke on behalf of the Guadalupe Community Changers. She was pleased to announce that they had recently been awarded a \$10,000 grant to support their new "Adelante Guadalupe" Fair Housing Mobilization Project that will be carried out through the remainder of this year into June 2022. Ms. Chavez said the grant will support educational workshops around fair housing, tenant rights, affordable housing advocacy, and leadership development. She also said

that she and her group were looking forward to bridging the housing rights knowledge gap among residents of Guadalupe.

Ms. Reina gave the residents a reminder that their monthly Guadalupe Community Clean-Up will be this Saturday, June 12<sup>th</sup>, from 10am to 12pm. All will be meeting at the Guadalupe Branch Library. She hoped to see everyone there. NOTE: Ms. Margarita Salazar gave the Spanish translation for all of this information.

## 6. CEREMONIAL CALENDAR

• Swearing in Michael Ambrosio, Paid Call Firefighter and Robert Wright, Police Officer

### 7. PROCLAMATION

Recognizing June 2021 – Pride Month

Mayor Julian read the proclamation and made a brief comment saying that it is important we recognize throughout the nation the importance of June being Pride Month.

## 8. PRESENTATIONS

• Tim Karcz, California Joint Powers Insurance Authority

Mr. Tim Karcz is a Senior Risk Manager with CJPIA and the representative for the City of Guadalupe. His presentation was an overview of the services provided by CJPIA.

He began by explaining that Guadalupe is one of 123 members of the Authority, of which 80% are cities and 20% are Special Districts and JPAs. Guadalupe's representative is a Board member. Some other cities on the Central Coast who are also CJPIA members are Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Solvang, Buellton, Pismo Beach, and San Luis Obispo.

CJPIA operates like an insurer in that they pool the loss exposures of its members. The cost of risk and loss exposure is spread across multiple organizations (members), not just Guadalupe. Currently, Guadalupe's primary coverage involves three programs: 1) General Liability, which include third party claims, vehicle accidents, professional liability and employment practices; 2) Property, including all risk/perils, such as fire damage, earthquakes, and 3) Workers' Compensation, employee injuries. (For general liability and workers' compensation programs, CJPIA works with third party administrators with Mr. Karcz being the City's primary contact.) CJPIA also covers the City for cyber liability, pollution, special events, and crime. Mr. Karcz also mentioned that CJPIA offers a free service with an outside law firm for employments issues.

Mr. Karcz's role is to help minimize the City's risk exposure in all areas by trying to determine where risks might be and how to manage that risk without getting rid of the actual risk. He spoke about a three-step "risk management decision making process": 1) avoid risk altogether; 2) mitigate risk through transfer to insurance policy, or 3) just accepting it by allowing activity. He gave an easy example of a potential risk with a playground at a park. He said, "To absolutely avoid potential risks inherent with playgrounds and park equipment, the City shouldn't have a playground. That obviously isn't workable. So, you look at ways to mitigate any potential risk. In some cases, such

mitigation could involve safety equipment, guards, fencing, etc. It might also involve working with the community or the equipment manufacturer making sure we're doing things in a safe manner."

Mr. Karcz and City staff participated in a recent risk management evaluation, or 'LossCAP process" (Loss Control Action Plan) highlighting areas of risk, from low to medium to high and how to mitigate. He visited all areas of the City, such as parks, City yard, treatment plant, etc. as well as reviewing policies and procedures. He said, "I met with departments to see how they're run; how employees are managed, and if departments operate understanding risks that are present and potential liabilities. An overall risk management program requires CJPIA expertise, staff involvement and Council's support to make sure the City is heading in the right direction. There are compliance issues, statutes and best practices we know will help loss prevention going forward."

Mr. Karcz also gave a brief overview of a five-year "snapshot" of the City's workers' compensation experience. The report showed the number of open and closed claims, categories of injuries/illnesses, monies paid for claims, monies reserved for potential future costs, and departments assigned to those claims, severity, and costs per claim. The City's cost per claim per \$100 of payroll is higher than many of the other members in the pool. Mr. Karcz indicated that there appears to be a trend going up with workers' compensation claims and would be suggesting pro-active moves to make. He did emphasize that the City is consistent with the pool regarding where the claims are, specifically, public safety. Mr. Karcz said, "We will work on that with training, policy development, active participation, and pro-active measures on the City's part. CJPIA appreciates that."

As for the general liability coverage, he said that the City was doing well with currently no active third-party claims. Guadalupe's cost per claim per \$100 of payroll is lower than the pool average.

Mr. Karcz briefly discussed some findings from the recent evaluation. He talked about "Contract Management" procedures. He said that he had detailed discussions with staff who handle contracts. He stressed the importance of looking at how contracts are managed. Is there a business license? Is there insurance? How frequently are contracts reviewed and updated, as necessary?

The City's "Safety Program" was also mentioned. Mr. Karcz said that there are certain Cal-OSHA policies that are required. The City has a lot of those policies, but they need to be updated. Again, he spoke about necessary safety training. He said, "And CJPIA will provide all of those services at no cost to the City. I'll be your primary contact on all of those." CJPIA has many courses online for employees to easily access on a number of relevant topics.

The third area from the recent risk evaluation he briefly mentioned was "Driver Management". He said, "There is a lot of activity and potential exposure for the city vehicles. Fortunately, though, there aren't a lot of claims. Vehicle usage policies would be beneficial.

He mentioned a little bit about general cyber incidents, malicious malware activity, City's infrastructure and workplace violence and employment practices liability. These are other coverage the City has with CJPIA.

Mr. Karcz ended the presentation giving contact information for himself and other individuals at CJPIA that the City would most likely interact. He said that he would be scheduling a visit again to

go through a more detailed LossCAP evaluation. His final comment was that the City staff is very cooperative and easy to work with.

Mayor Julian asked Mr. Karcz what was meant by "driver management". Mr. Karcz said, "That could be a number of things. Like, evaluating an employee's driving ability, specifically if the employee's position requires use of a city vehicle. Could also involve driving records; managing commercial license requirements, for Classes A and B; defensive driving training; being mindful of our community's safety, as well, such as other vehicles and pedestrians." There were no further questions and Mayor Julian thanked Mr. Karcz for his presentation.

## 9. CONSENT CALENDAR

The following items are presented for City Council approval without discussion as a single agenda items in order to expedite the meeting. Should a Council Member wish to discuss or disapprove an item, it must be dropped from the blanket motion of approval and considered as a separate item.

- A. Waive the reading in full of all Ordinances and Resolutions. Ordinances on the Consent Calendar will be adopted by the same vote cast as the first meeting, unless City Council indicates otherwise.
- **B.** Approve payment of warrants for the period ending June 3, 2021.
- C. Approve the Minutes of the City Council special meeting of May 18, 2021 to be ordered filed.
- **D.** Approve the Minutes of the City Council regular meeting of May 25, 2021 to be ordered filed.
- E. Approve the Santa Barbara County Animal Services Agreement one year extension at a cost of \$61,900 in fiscal year 2021-2022.
- F. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-40 approving Cost Allocation Plan for fiscal year 2021-2022.
- **G.** Adopt Resolution No. 2021-41 establishing the appropriations limit from tax proceeds for fiscal year 2021-2022.
- **H.** Adopt Resolution No. 2021-42 authorizing City staff to increase the LeRoy Park Construction Contingency to \$319,235.99.

## I. MONTHLY REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENT HEADS

- 1. Planning Department report for May 2021
- 2. Building Department report for May 2021
- 3. Public Works / City Engineer's Department report for May 2021

Items #9.E. and Items #9.F. were pulled.

Motion was made by Council Member Ramirez and seconded by Council Member Robles to approve the balance of the consent calendar. 4-0 Passed.

Item #9.E.- Approve the Santa Barbara County Animal Services Agreement one year extension at a cost of \$61,900 in fiscal year 2021-2022.

Ms. Shirley Boydstun spoke on this item saying, "The contract with Santa Barbara County for animal services has an obscene price tag...and lumps all liabilities on the City of Guadalupe. The reports states what the service is to do, but it does not say what has been done in the past year(s) to demand \$61,900+. How many clinics were held? How many animals were rescued? Euthanized? Dog bites investigated, and so on? Is there any better way to get this good service at a lesser cost?" I guess not, if the County says we have to have it. So, they have us held at gunpoint."

Mayor Julian asked who would be responsible for gathering such data? Philip Sinco, City Attorney, said that information could be gathered from Animal Control. He mentioned that the City of Santa Maria had looked at other ways for animal services, by partnering with other agencies, hiring and training their own officer, etc. But he said that the alternatives would be more costly than to go with Animal Services.

The mayor said that for Guadalupe, the calls for animal services would probably go to the Police Department. Chief Cash said, "I've looked at the contract and talked to Animal Services. There aren't a lot of calls here. The most cost would be for "roadkill" and the disposal of animals. We'd have no choice but to go to the County for that disposal. If Guadalupe PD took on this service, it would be at an exorbitant cost, with hiring additional personnel, more vehicles and training. And we really couldn't partner with another City to share this service." Mayor Julian said he recalled City of Santa Maria looking to do this in-house. He also mentioned that he hadn't seen a lot of units out here and agreed with Ms. Boydstun regarding the yearly cost increases.

Todd Bodem mentioned that he received an email today from someone who could provide similar services. There was no identifying contact information on that email. Mr. Bodem said that the current contract with Animal Services is through the end of June 2021. CM Ramirez asked, "If the City is locked into a contract, is it possible to ask for a multi-year extension at some reduced rate?" Mr. Bodem said that it seems to be a CPI-type increase, 2%, each year, but he would look into that further and discuss at the next meeting.

No action was taken with direction given to staff to research multi-year contract and bring back to June 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting.

Item #9.F. - Adopt Resolution No. 2021-40 approving Cost Allocation Plan for fiscal year 2021-2022.

CM Ramirez said, "I just wanted to acknowledge the staff's work that went into putting this report together. I think we've seen this come before us before. There was such a great amount of detail of indirect costs and how we look at something at face value. There's a ripple effect of how much it costs to do something. This really helps us with our decision-making process. Mayor Julian agreed

saying, "The report shows true costs. To do something doesn't only cost the employee's hourly wage. There are all the underlying costs. We should all spend more time looking at this cost allocation, especially since we have state guidelines, that say, in terms of what we do with our money."

Motion was made by Council Member Ramirez and seconded by Council Member Robles to approve Resolution No. 2021-40 approving Cost Allocation Plan for fiscal year 2021-2022. 4-0 Passed.

# **10.** CITY ADMINISTRATOR REPORT: (Information Only)

Mr. Bodem said that on Tuesday, June 15, 2021, City Hall will officially reopen. He also said that there was a lot of work being done behind the scenes for the City's 75<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Celebration which will be discussed further on in the agenda.

He also mentioned that over the past two years, the City has received a number of grants, such as for Public Safety: 1) Homeland Security Grant (yr2019) \$20,000 & (yr2021) \$50,000; 2) AFG (FY19/20) \$719,072 & (FY20/21) \$113,735; 3) Tobacco Mitigation Grant (yr2020) \$858,660 & (yr2021) \$649,713, and 4) One805 Grant (yr2019) \$5,700.

Some other grants received by Public Safety: 1) RFC Grant (yr2021) \$15,728 (50/50 payments); 2) VFA Grant \$2,950 (yr2020) & \$5,197 (yr2019) (50/50); 3) AFG Covid-19 Grant (yr2020) \$3,370 (95/5); 4) CSFA 3 Grant (yr2019) \$2,950, and 5) AFG Regional Grant (yr2019) \$109,464 (90/10).

For Public Works: 1) ATP Cycle 3 (pedestrian walkway improvements) \$401,000; 2) IRWMP DAC implementation grant (effluent pump station replacement) \$302,000; 3) Safe Routes to School \$114,710; 4) Local Road Safety Plan preparation \$40,000; 5) State of Good Repair (new transit van) \$95,400; 6) APCD LEEF grant (new electric equipment) \$4,077, and IRWMP DACI planning grant (water master plan update) \$32,051.

For Planning: 1) REAP (General Planning) \$104,000; 2) LEAP (CEQA General Plan Element) \$65,000, and 3) SB2 (General Plan Update \$150,000 and ADU work \$10,000) total \$160,000.

The mayor said, "There's a lot of work being done behind the scenes."

# 11. <u>DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SAFETY REPORT</u>: (Information Only)

No report given. The mayor had questioned a recent incident he saw where a Fire vehicle with flashing unit was coming from the beach area. Chief Cash said, "That was a medical call. The Fire vehicle had been meeting with the rangers. They were called downtown where a local person was evaluated and eventually was sent to the hospital for mental assistance."

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

12. Tentative Parcel Map 29,063 a Master Vesting Tentative Parcel Map to Subdivide an 85.1 gross acre parcel into four lots for future residential subdivision in the south portion of the DJ Farms Specific Plan (APN 113-080-018).

Written report: William Bill Scott, City Planner

Recommendation: That the City Council:

- a. Presentation of staff report followed by questions from City Council; and
- Conduct a public hearing to receive any comments from the applicant and the public regarding Tract 29,063; and
- c. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-43 approving Vesting Tentative Parcel Map 29,063 and adopting finding pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. Section 15182 and Section 15162.

In November 2012, the City of Guadalupe approved the DJ Farms Specific Plan (Specific Plan) as the guiding document for phased development of a mixed-use community consisting of up to 802 single-family residential dwellings supporting commercial, school, park, and infrastructure on a 209-acre area.

The Specific Plan area is bounded by Highway 166 on the north; Highway 1 and Union Pacific Railroad tracks on the west and agricultural lands to the east and south. Railroad tracks, owned by Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) and leased by the Santa Maria Valley Railroad (SMVRR) traverse through the central portion of the Specific Plan area, in a northwesterly-southeasterly direction.

A Final Map entitled Tract No. 29,064 was recently approved by the City Council. Tract 29,064 completed last of the major discretionary approvals required in the northerly portion of the Specific Plan area. The Project Developer is now preparing the 85.1-acres on the south side of the railroad tracks for development. The Specific Plan envisions up to 352 homes in those neighborhoods identified as *Pasadera South*.

Mr. Scott said that there were two "Project Descriptions 1 and 2". Project Description 1 is a "Master Map" to subdivide an 85.1-acre parcel into four lots. He said, "There'd be no immediate development resulting. The property is located on the east side of Highway 1 – south of Union Pacific/Santa Maria Valley Railroad. This is a first step to implement 'Pasadera South'." He further said that there currently are no roads there. The railroad divides 'North and South Pasadera' but a bridge is planned.

Project Description 2 is a request by the application or developer for the City's recognition of a temporary access route for construction vehicles. Mr. Scott said, "The issues here involve needing emergency access and permission from the Union Pacific/Santa Maria Valley Railroads for temporary 'at-grade' roadway across the railroad tracks. He further emphasized that no 'building lots' were being approved at this point.

Mr. Scott spoke more about the planned bridge, potential future lots, a possible second bridge, a proposed temporary access location from the south, and possible landscaping opportunities. There were two conditions that he highlighted in his presentation: 1) Condition 12: 'No grading permit or building permit approved unless written approval from the railroad; or a satisfactory alternate route is provided, and 2) Condition 20: 'No temporary access approved unless emergency access is approved by City emergency services.' He concluded by saying, "The bridge could take up to a year to complete. The developer would like to continue with plans while discussions on the bridge continue. This would facilitate more efficient timing for development of 'Pasadera South'."

Prior to opening the public hearing, the mayor addressed a question to Philip Sinco, City Attorney, "One of our council members resides in Pasadera. Should he be recused?" Mr. Sinco said, "If he's more than 500 feet away from the lot in the resolution, there's no conflict." (The council member in question, CM Ramirez, gave his address which was more than the 500 feet distance requirement.)

Public Hearing opened at 7:25 p.m.

Mr. Craig Smith, Project Manager, said, "We're wrapping up Lot 4 and starting Lot 9. We're trying to keep this project and have momentum. We need to get a little bit ahead of us. Regarding access, we're negotiating with a farmer to bring our equipment through Brown Road."

Mr. Sinco said, "There were some requests for changes on 'Planning Condition Number 20'. The agenda packet doesn't have the request for changes." He handed out the 'Proposed Revision to Planning Condition Number 20'. Mr. Sinco explained the changes from the original Condition 20 to the proposed revised handout. Ms. Lori Speers, P.E., with Bethel Engineering, consultant for the developer, agreed to the changes addressed. Mr. Sinco then said that a clean copy, with conditions added, would be added to the City website. He clarified, "What you will be approving will be the correct copy on the website."

Mayor Julian said, "This was complex, dealing with multiple parties." Mr. Smith added, "If anyone has ever dealt with the railroads, they'll know why we're trying everything we can to not have to deal with them on a daily basis to have equipment go back and forth over the railroad." He also said, "I've never been on a project before where I had the railroad on one side and Cal Trans on the other."

Public Hearing closed at 7:32 p.m.

CM Ramirez suggested that something "Guadalupe-esque" might be put on the side of the bridge, like a mural or something. He said, "Being forward thinking...think about it for beautification purposes."

Motion was made by Council Member Robles and seconded by Council Member Costa, Jr. to adopt Resolution No. 2021-43, with changes, conditionally approving Vesting Tentative Parcel Map 29,063 and adoption of the findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. Section 15182 and Section 15612. Ayes: 4 Noes: 0 Absent: 1 Cardenas

Mayor Julian said, "We asked the developer if we could use names of veterans lost in war to name the streets there. There are about 20 streets or so. We appreciate the opportunity to name the streets that are relevant to the community, especially our armed forces and those who have passed." Mr. Smith responded to the mayor's comments by saying, "We're very happy to do that."

### **REGUAR BUSINESS**

# 13. City of Guadalupe 75th Anniversary Celebration.

Written report: Todd Bodem, City Administrator

<u>Recommendation</u>: That the City Council provide a letter of support for the City of Guadalupe 75<sup>th</sup> Anniversary celebration to the California Department of Transportation (Cal Trans) street closure application – Resolution No. 2021-44.

Mr. Bodem briefly explained that on August 8, 2021, Guadalupe will celebrate its 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary. Meetings have been held on a weekly basis with three major groups coordinating the festivities. Jose Nichols is handling the application with Cal Trans for the parade; Shirley Boydstun has publicity responsibilities, and Liliana Cardenas is handling the festival, such as booths, music, etc.

In Mr. Nichols' absence, Ms. Boydstun spoke about a Plan B, if Cal Trans does not permit Guadalupe Street to be closed during this celebration. She also said that a flyer will be going out the first part of next week with a "Save the Date" notation. She asked, if possible, to have a notice/flyer for the 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary celebration be put in the July water billing. Mr. Bodem then mentioned that meetings are held every Thursday at 2:00 p.m. (Sub-committee meetings are also be held as necessary.)

CM Ramirez said that he reached out to help on the festival side of things. A non-profit group from Santa Maria, Corozon de Pueblo, will participate and create fun entertainment. He also said that there should be more help coming for the festival. As for the Cal Trans application, he suggested that the City/committee reach out to Assemblyman Jordan Cunningham and Representative Salud Carbajal to help move the Cal Trans application through the approval process. It was also mentioned that vendor notices need to be distributed.

Mr. Bodem mentioned, "I met with Jose Nichols who suggested that the application to Cal Trans should come from the City rather than the committee. Needs to be further discussed." The mayor said, "I don't see why we wouldn't want to do it. SBCAG — Cal Trans is in that group. There's a North County meeting tomorrow and next week. We can push things a little further."

Motion was made by Council Member Costa, Jr. and seconded by Council Member Ramirez to approve Resolution No. 2021-44 and the City Council provide a letter of support for the City of Guadalupe 75<sup>th</sup> Anniversary celebration. 4-0 Passed.

#### 14. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Mr. Bodem: 6/22 meeting – Andrew Goodwin Design Agreement – Royal Theatre and bring back the Animal Services Agreement.

CM Ramirez mentioned mental health training and mental health first aid which had been discussed at a previous meeting. A specific meeting date was not identified to have further discussion with the Council. Chief Cash said that the Police Department was compiling calls and stats, and he's collected polices from other agencies, law enforcement departments, on mental health. He's also looked at Santa Barbara County's procedures, mental health facilities, and how the procedure is done in the County. He's putting all of that together for the Council to discuss how to proceed.

Chief Cash said he had seen a power point slide presentation on "Santa Barbara County Juvenile Justice Commission". He said, "There's information on youth of color in North County, detention, resources and the courts. Good to see what is happening in Santa Barbara County, especially the northern part." (Chief clarified later that this will be a handout for informational purposes, not an agenda item.)

# 15. ANNOUNCEMENTS - COUNCIL ACTIVITY/COMMITTEE REPORTS

CM Robles gave congratulations to McKenzie Middle School's Class of 2021 who were graduating today. He also mentioned that the Food Bank was cutting back on its distribution dates. The next date will be July 1<sup>st</sup>. Going forward, distribution dates will be the first Thursday of each month up until October.

Mayor Julian asked, "In light of the H2A issues in the City of Santa Maria, should we bring that up here?" Mr. Sinco said that he has a meeting with Tom Watson, Santa Maria City Attorney, to see what the federal government said and what needs to be done. At this time, he wasn't sure if this issue had to be brought to Council. He said that if it did, it would be in open session.

#### 16. ADJOURNMENT TO CLOSED SESSION MEETING

Mr. Sinco said that according to the Brown Act, the agenda items to be discussed should be read: "The meeting is being adjourned to closed session. Item #17.A. Conference with Labor Negotiators was pulled from the agenda. We will discuss Item #17.B. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation. Initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(4): 1 case."

Motion made by Council Member Ramirez and seconded by Council Member Robles to adjourn to closed session. 4-0 Passed. Meeting adjourned at 7:41 p.m.

### **CLOSED SESSION**

#### 17. A. Conference with Labor Negotiators

(Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54957.6)

Agency designated representatives: City Administrator and Human Resources Manager;

Employee Organizations: International Association of Firefighters (IAFF)

Per Mr. Bodem, this item was taken off the agenda.

# B. Conference with Legal Counsel - Anticipated Litigation

Initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(4): 1 case

Motion was made by Council Member Ramirez and seconded by Council Member Costa, Jr. to authorize the City to join, as a plaintiff, litigation that may be filed by another party, in the event that such litigation is filed. If filed, the particulars shall be disclosed to any person upon inquiry. 4-0 Passed.

Motion was made by Council Member Costa, Jr. and seconded by Council Member Ramirez to return to open session. 4-0 Passed.

# 18. CLOSED SESSION ANNOUNCMENTS

After the Council returned to open session, the City Attorney made the following announcement: "The City Council authorized the City to join, as a plaintiff, litigation that may be filed by another party, in the event that such litigation is actually filed. If filed, the particulars shall be disclosed to any person upon inquiry."

## 19. ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Council Member Ramirez and seconded by Council Member Robles to adjourn. 4-0 Passed. Meeting adjourned at 7:59 p.m.

Prepared by:

Amelia M. Villegas, City Clerk

Approved by:

Ariston Julian, Mayor