

Juana Escobar

Subject:

FW: Upcoming Council Vote on the Second Cannabis License

From: fromero@solutions-plus.net <fromero@solutions-plus.net>

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 9:12 AM

To: Todd Bodem <tbodem@ci.guadalupe.ca.us>; 'Philip Sinco' <sinco.muni.law@gmail.com>; 'Ariston Julian' <ariston.julian@me.com>; Michael Cash <mcash@ci.guadalupe.ca.us>

Subject: Upcoming Council Vote on the Second Cannabis License

Dear Mayor Julian, Mr. Bodem, Chief Cash, & Mr. Sinco,

I hope you are doing well & I want to say thank you for your service. Please forward this email to the remaining four Councilmembers prior to the meeting on 8/16.

While the City has made the decision to go forward with retail cannabis in hopes of generating revenue, I thought that today's article in Noozhawk was timely, relative to the percentage of cities & counties statewide that don't allow any type of cannabis businesses at **56%** & that **62%** of cities & counties have banned retail businesses. These percentages are incongruent with the Proposition 64 vote that allowed legalization that passed with **57.13%** of the votes. Perhaps this is a result of experiences with retailers & community impacts?

https://www.noozhawk.com/article/county_planning_commission_approves_orcutt_dispensary_after_appeal?omhide=true&utm_source=Noozhawk&utm_campaign=6ac3e8de5d-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2019_03_18_03_36_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_9ec8acd2c4-6ac3e8de5d-247357176

At any rate, the reason for my email is in regards to the upcoming vote on Tuesday night. I'm glad to see that the City decided to redo the vote with a full Council present. However, I think the City Council should reconsider their first vote as well due to this recent article:

"Dayspring claims he bankrolled other SLO cannabis dispensaries; companies and city push back"

<https://www.newtimeslo.com/sanluisobispo/dayspring-claims-he-bankrolled-other-slo-cannabis-dispensaries-companies-and-city-push-back/Content?oid=12792214>

I believe that if this information had been available at the time of the first vote, that the Council would not have supported an applicant who already has a record of not being truthful with the City of San Luis Obispo. While your selected applicant denies any wrongdoing in this article, he was not under oath when talking to the press.

My request is that the Council reconsiders its first selection based on this recent first article, as there are probably more on the way. When new information surfaces, you

have an obligation & a right to reconsider. I realize that the right choice is often not the easy one. There are so many positive things happening & on the horizon for the City because of your efforts, please reconsider.

Personally, I don't think we need two retailers. The decision for two has been made & your best two choices are on the agenda Tuesday night.

I look forward to your decisions.

Warm regards,

Frances

Juana Escobar

Subject: FW: Upcoming Council Vote on the Second Cannabis License

From: fromero@solutions-plus.net <fromero@solutions-plus.net>

Sent: Friday, August 12, 2022 8:48 AM

To: Todd Bodem <tbodem@ci.guadalupe.ca.us>; 'Philip Sinco' <sinco.muni.law@gmail.com>; 'Ariston Julian' <ariston.julian@me.com>; Michael Cash <mcash@ci.guadalupe.ca.us>

Subject: RE: Upcoming Council Vote on the Second Cannabis License

Good Morning All,

It is hard to keep up with all the recent media. I've attached two more items, an article & an interview for the City Council to consider regarding the first approved license. Frances

<https://calcoastnews.com/2022/08/slo-county-upstarts-grab-a-slice-of-cannabis-kingpins-empire/>

<https://www.920kvec.com/show/dave-congalton-hometown-radio/>



Dave Congalton Hometown Radio 08/10/2022 4p: Reporter Karen Velie discuss SLO County.

Dave Congalton

From: fromero@solutions-plus.net <fromero@solutions-plus.net>

Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 9:12 AM

To: tbodem@ci.guadalupe.ca.us; 'Philip Sinco' <sinco.muni.law@gmail.com>; 'Ariston Julian' <ariston.julian@me.com>; 'Michael Cash' <mcash@ci.guadalupe.ca.us>

Subject: Upcoming Council Vote on the Second Cannabis License

Dear Mayor Julian, Mr. Bodem, Chief Cash, & Mr. Sinco,

I hope you are doing well & I want to say thank you for your service. Please forward this email to the remaining four Councilmembers prior to the meeting on 8/16.

While the City has made the decision to go forward with retail cannabis in hopes of generating revenue, I thought that today's article in Noozhawk was timely, relative to the percentage of cities & counties statewide that don't allow any type of cannabis businesses at **56%** & that **62%** of cities & counties have banned retail businesses. These percentages are incongruent with the Proposition 64 vote that allowed legalization that passed with **57.13%** of the votes. Perhaps this is a result of experiences with retailers & community impacts?

https://www.noozhawk.com/article/county_planning_commission_approves_orcutt_dispensary_after_appeal?omhide=true&utm_source=Noozhawk&utm_campaign=6ac3e8de5d-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2019_03_18_03_36_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_9ec8acd2c4-6ac3e8de5d-247357176

At any rate, the reason for my email is in regards to the upcoming vote on Tuesday night. I'm glad to see that the City decided to redo the vote with a full Council present. However, I think the City Council should reconsider their first vote as well due to this recent article:

"Dayspring claims he bankrolled other SLO cannabis dispensaries; companies and city push back"

<https://www.newtimesslo.com/sanluisobispo/dayspring-claims-he-bankrolled-other-slo-cannabis-dispensaries-companies-and-city-push-back/Content?oid=12792214>

I believe that if this information had been available at the time of the first vote, that the Council would not have supported an applicant who already has a record of not being truthful with the City of San Luis Obispo. While your selected applicant denies any wrongdoing in this article, he was not under oath when talking to the press.

My request is that the Council reconsiders its first selection based on this recent first article, as there are probably more on the way. When new information surfaces, you have an obligation & a right to reconsider. I realize that the right choice is often not the easy one. There are so many positive things happening & on the horizon for the City because of your efforts, please reconsider.

Personally, I don't think we need two retailers. The decision for two has been made & your best two choices are on the agenda Tuesday night.

I look forward to your decisions.

Warm regards,

Frances

Juana Escobar

From: Todd Bodem
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:30 PM
To: Juana Escobar; Amelia Villegas - City Clerk
Subject: FW: Proposed Cannabis Dispensary

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: lannyebenstein@aol.com <lannyebenstein@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 1:25 PM
To: ariston.julian@me.com
Cc: Todd Bodem <tbodem@ci.guadalupe.ca.us>; sinco.muni.law@gmail.com; Michael Cash <mcash@ci.guadalupe.ca.us>
Subject: Proposed Cannabis Dispensary

Mayor and Council
City of Guadalupe

Re: Proposed Cannabis Dispensary

Dear Mayor Ariston and Members of the Council,

As a longtime participant in Santa Barbara County civic affairs (including in Guadalupe), this letter is to encourage you to consider a dispensary application other than the one from SLO CAL Roots. No one who has watched the process of cannabis dispensaries unfold in Santa Barbara County could be positively impressed with how most cities have approved their applications to this point. Far too often, promises have been made by applicants that have not been kept, people have been involved of whom it was said they were not involved, and expensive and time-consuming litigation and community controversy have followed. There is no reason for this to occur in Guadalupe. You have too many important issues that you are considering.

A good principle for public agencies is to avoid even the appearance of inappropriate policy-making. The City of Guadalupe should not approve a cannabis dispensary with any applicant for whom there is a significant chance much controversy and possibly legal action would result.

Thank you for your consideration and your service to the community.

Sincerely,
Lanny Ebenstein
Santa Barbara